- "	IITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	•			
D	ISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS				
04	11784 MLW				
	)				
Patricia M. Colburn,	)				
Plaintiff	)	•			
	) Civil Action No.				
v.	)	RECEIPT #			
	)	AMOUNT \$ 1570			
Richard Cappiello,	j 011.05	SUMMONS ISSUED NITT			
Defendant	MAGISTRATE JUDGE 10/11/10	LOCAL RULE 4.1			
	í	WAIVER FORM			
	/	MCF ISSUED			
	NOTICE OF REMOVAL	BY DPTY. CLK			
	MOTICE OF REMOVING	DATE			

Under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441, et seq., Defendant Richard Cappiello hereby removes this action from the Superior Court of Plymouth County, Massachusetts, docketed there as 04-00889-A, to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. Defendant represents the following to support this Notice:

- 1. Plaintiff Colburn commenced this action by filing a Complaint and a Demand for a Jury claim on or about July 19, 2004 in the Plymouth County Superior Court, Brockton, Massachusetts, captioned Patricia *Colburn vs. Richard Cappello* [sic]. This complaint was served on Mr. Cappiello on August 4, 2004. (A copy of the complaint and summons are attached hereto as Exhibit A).
- 2. The complaint alleges that the Union, of which Mr. Cappiello is the business manager, through various actions, failed to provide

/2004

- proper representation to the Plaintiff, in both work-rule rule related grievances and in opposing her termination.
- 3. Although the Complaint does not assert any specific legal basis, the claims asserted flow directly from Mr. Cappiello's duty as a Union agent to represent the plaintiff fairly under the collective bargaining agreement with the Plaintiff's employer, Verizon.
- 4. In essence, the Plaintiff's claim relates to the Union's responsibility to provide its members with fair representation under the collective bargaining agreement; pursuant to Section 301 of the Labor-Management Relations Act of 1948, 29 U.S.C. § 185, this action must be treated as a claim under federal labor law. <u>United Steelworkers</u> v. Rawson, 495 U.S. 362, 367 ("[A]n individual employee's state law tort suit against her union . . . must be treated as a claim under federal labor law, when the duty of care allegedly arose from the collective bargaining agreement between the union and the employer.").
- 5. Further, to decide whether Mr. Cappiello's actions were proper, it will be necessary for the court to consider what Mr. Cappiello's duties were under the Union's collective bargaining agreement with Verizon. Under Section 301, claims requiring a fact finder to consider the terms of a collective bargaining agreement are totally preempted, and the well pleaded complaint rule cannot defeat

Filed 08/11/2004

- removal. Stikes v. Chevron, USA, 914 F.2d 1265, 1267 (9th Cir. 1990), cert. den, 500 U.S. 917 (1991).
- 6. The Union is a labor organization as defined in 29 U.S.C. § 152. Although the Plaintiff's Complaint names Mr. Cappiello and not the Union as a defendant, the allegations against Mr. Cappiello relate solely to actions taken in his capacity as a Union officer. Therefore, the Plaintiff's claims against Mr. Cappiello are subject to removal. Rawson, 495 U.S. at 372.
- 7. The District Court of the United States has jurisdiction over suits requiring the interpretation of collective bargaining agreements without respect to the amount in controversy or regard to the citizenship of the parties. 29 U.S.C. § 185. This court, therefore, has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 185 and federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 8. Written notice of the filing of this notice of removal has been served upon the Plaintiff, and a copy has been filed with the Clerk of the Plymouth County Superior Court, along with a request that a certified copy of the state court record be compiled for submission in this court.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard Cappiello, By his attorneys,

Harold L. Lichten, BBO #549689

Nicole Horberg Decter, BBO #658268

Pyle, Rome, Lichten, Ehrenberg &

Liss-Riordan, P.C.

18 Tremont Street, Suite 500

Boston, MA 02108

Telephone: (617) 367-7200 Facsimile: (617) 367-4820

Dated: August 16, 2004

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

T!TLE C	)F CASE (	NAME OF FIRST PARTY ON EACH SIDE ONL	y) Patricia 1	M. Colburn v. Ricahrd Cappiello
CATEG	ORY IN W	HICH THE CASE BELONGS BASED UPON T	HE NUMBERED NATI	URE OF SUIT CODE LISTED ON THE CIVIL
COVER	SHEET.	(SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(A)(1)).		
<b>—</b> ,	l.	160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NAT	URE OF SUIT.	t.
_	li.	195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 6 740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*, 850, 890, 89		*Also complete AO 120 or AO 121 for patent, trademark or copyright cases
<u> </u>	III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 380, 385, 450, 891.		
_	ly.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900.	630, 640, 650, 660,	
7	<b>v.</b>	150, 152, 153.		
				IF MORE THAN ONE PRIOR RELATED CASE OF THE FIRST FILED CASE IN THIS COURT.
HAS A		TION BETWEEN THE SAME PARTIES AND B	ASED ON THE SAME	CLAIM EVERBEEN FILED IN THIS
			YES	(, NO )
DOES 1	HE COME	PLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONS	TITUTIONALITY OF A	AN ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING THE
		T? (SEE 28 USC §2403)	monologem of A	AT AT AT AT AT A AT A AT A AT A AT A A
			YES	(NO)
IF SO, I	S THE U.S	S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE	OF THE U.S. A PAR	TY?
			YES	NO
	CASE RE §2284?	QUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED E	BY A DISTRICT COUR	RT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE
		•	YES	· (NO
COMMO	NWEALT	PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOV H OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)).	ERNMENTAL AGENO . AGENCIES"), RESII	CIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE DING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE
			YES	NO
	A.	IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF TH	IE NON-GOVERNMEN	NTAL PARTES RESIDE?
		EASTERN DIVISION CENT	TRAL DIVISION	WESTERN DIVISION
	В.	IF NO, IN WHICH DIVISION DO THE MAJOR GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES, RESIDING I		•
		EASTERN DIVISION CENT	TRAL DIVISION	WESTERN DIVISION
	YPE OR P	RINT)		
EASE T				
		Harold L. Lichten, 8000	!!	
TORNEY	"S NAME	Harold L. Lichten, Nico. Rome, Lichten, Ehrenberg 617-367-7200		

(Rev. 3/99)

Case 1:04-cv-11/64-MEW Locument 4 Page 6 of 6

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information of the information of the Locument of the Locument

of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INS 1. (a) PLAINTIFFS				Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the us STRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)  DEFENDANTS			
Patricia M. Colburn				Richard Cappiello			
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF				COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE. IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOIVED.			
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDHESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)				ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) Harold L. Lichten 617-367-7200 Nicole Horberg Decter Pyle, Kome, Lichten, Ehrenberg & Liss-Riordan 18 Tremont Street, Ste. 500, Boston, MA 02108			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (PLACE AN 1)	(* IN ONE BOX ONLY)		Diversity Cases Only)	,	ACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIF IND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	Federal Question (U.S. Governme	nt Not a Party)	c			PTF DEF or Principal Place ☐ 4 ☐ 4 s In This State	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	U 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizen in Item III)	ship of Parties		itizen of Another State □ itizen or Subject of a □ Foreign Country	of Business	and Principal Place D 5 D 5 to 1 Another State	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE	E BOX ONLY)	ı	1 orong ir oborning		**10	
CONTRACT	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	RTS	1	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance   120 Manne   120 Manne   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment   151 Medicare Act   152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl Veterans)   163 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   REAL PROPERTY	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Amplane 315 Amplane Product Liability  320 Assault Libel & Stander  330 Federal Employers Liability  340 Manne  345 Manne Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS	PERSONAL INJURY  362 Personal Injury — Med. Malpractice  365 Personal Injury — Product Uability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERTY  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage		610 Agriculture   620 Other Food & Drug   625 Drug Related Seizure of Propenty 21 USC 881   630 Liquor Laws   640 R.R. & Truck   650 Airling Regs   660 Occupational Safety/Health   690 Other   LABOR   710 Fair Labor Standards Act   7720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations   730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting   730 Labor/Mgmt. Rep	PROPERTY RIGHTS   820 Copyrights   830 Patient   840 Trademark   SOCIAL SECURITY   961 HtA (1395H)   962 Black Lung (923)   963 DINCO(DIWN (405(g))   964 SSID Title XM	400 State Reapportionment   410 Antirust   430 Banks and Banking   450 Commerce/ICC Flates/etc   460 Deportation   470 Racketser Influenced and Compt Organizations   510 Selective Service   850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange   878 Customer Challenge   12 USC 3410   691 Agricultural Acts   692 Economic Stabilization Act   693 Environmental Maners   694 Energy Allocation Act   695 Freedom of	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Weifare 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 519 Motions to Sentence HABEAS CORP ☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Pens ☐ 640 Mandamus ☐ 650 Civil Rights ☐ 856 Prison Cor	Vecate PUS: D 740 alty D 790 a Other D 791	30 Labor/Mgmi. Reporting 30 Spacesars Act C) 740 Railway Labor Act C) 790 Other Labor Litigation C) 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS  B70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)  B71 IRS — Third Party 26 USC 7609	Information Act  900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Just  (1) 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes  1 890 Other Statutory Actions	
			4 <i>N "X" IN (</i> 4 Reinsta Reoper		district 💢 a Multidistr		
Duty of fair re	presentation cl	tional statutes un aim agains	NLESS DIVER	RS(TY.)	e under LMRA⊗§	301 only if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT: VIII.RELATED CASE	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER ER.C.P. 2		TION	<u> </u>	JURY DEMA	AND: DYES DNO	
IF ANY  DATE August 16, 2004	J	SIGNATURE OF	ATTORNEY O	F REGIÓNO	DOCKET NUMBER		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		Mi	ble 64	Jarly Des	hi		
				$\mathcal{O}$			